EXHIBIT F

79IMDANC.txt

| 1 |
|---|
| 1 |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 07 Civ. 8160 (SAS) |
| |
| |
| <u>.</u> |
| New York, N.Y. September 18, 2007 4:30 p.m. |
| |
| HEINDLIN, |
| District Judge |
| ES |
| eys |
| PORTERS, P.C. 0300 |
| about this case. Why are this is a large bankruptcy The government filed its etember 5, the debtors filed the they tried to settle the \$300 million in two months. In filed an objection to our or more time so we could |
| |

우

3

4

우

우

14 15

16

court.

```
79IMDANC.txt
 12
        well as other discovery issues. They said no. Time is of the
 13
        essence, no extensions whatsoever.
 14
                     Accordingly, this morning we filed a motion for a stav
 15
        in the bankruptcy court so we can move before your Honor to
        withdraw the reference as to the government's claims in the bankruptcy proceeding. It's important to note we are only asking to withdraw the federal CERCLA issues which are complex
 17
 18
        environmental statutes which are not bankruptcy laws, so we are
 19
 20
        going to ask your Honor to withdraw the reference on the basis
 21
        of core, noncore. My memory of these words is poor. That's
 22
        not it.
23
24
                     Your Honor, under 28 U.S.C. 157(d), there is
        permissive and there is mandatory. The government asserts that
 25
        this is under mandatory.
                             SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                          (212) 805-0300
        79IMDANC
                     THE COURT: The government asserts it's under
 123456789
        mandatory?
                    MR. BENNETT: Yes.
THE COURT: If it's under mandatory?
        MR. YANKWITT: Then your Honor must take it. The court has no discretion. 28 U.S.C. 157(d), in essence, says
        that if there is an intersection between any complex federal
        statute and bankruptcy law, the district court must take it.
The Court uses the word shall. And the courts consistently say
10
        shall means must. Courts have consistently said that CERCLA is
        a complex federal statute. It affects interstate commerce and
11
        the courts have widely held that these types of cases should be withdrawn to the district court.
12
13
14
                    THE COURT: So you asked for a stay in the bankruptcy
15
        court.
16
                    MR. YANKWITT: We asked for a stay this morning.
        Tomorrow morning, there is a hearing before Judge Lifland. We would like to tell the judge not that your Honor has ruled on
17
18
19
        this, but we have a date certain which we can be heard before
20
21
22
        your Honor so we can have a ruling whether or not your Honor
        will agree to withdraw the reference.

THE COURT: You don't know if he will give you a stay.

MR. YANKWITT: We don't know, your Honor.

THE COURT: You want a stay of what in the bankruptcy
23
24
25
        court?
                            SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                          (212) 805-0300
        79IMDANC
       MR. YANKWITT: Two things, your Honor. One is -- the withdrawal of the reference has two parts. One is the debtor's objections to the government's proof of claim, which refers to one site in New Jersey, which is the bulk of the claim, as well
 123
        as five sites throughout the country as well as the estimations
        procedures motion which is their incredibly expedited discovery
        schedule to resolve these motions. We'd like to withdraw those
 8
        two items.
                    THE COURT: I asked you about the stay. What are you
10
        looking to stay? What are you asking the bankruptcy court
11
        judge to stay?
       MR. YANKWITT: To stay the estimations procedures motion as well as the objection of the proof of claim. We want
12
13
```

THE COURT: Right now they are on a certain schedule? Page 2

to stay those proceedings so those could be heard in a district

```
79IMDANC.txt
 17
                        MR. YANKWITT:
                                               The debtors proposed a schedule. The
 18
          government objected to that schedule. That's going to be heard
 19
          before Judge Lifland on October 3.
         THE COURT: What's going to be heard on October 3?

MR. YANKWITT: I'm sorry, your Honor. Tomorrow
morning there will be a hearing on debtors' schedule,
estimation schedule for the government's claims. On October 3,
which is the next hearing date, there will be a hearing on the
 20
 21
 22
 23
          government's motion to stay.
                                 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                                 (212) 805-0300
                                                                                                           5
          79IMDANC
          THE COURT: That's when the judge is going to hear your motion to stay. I won't know the ruling on the motion to
  3
          stay tomorrow.
  456789
                       MR. YANKWITT: No, your Honor. We asked for an
          extension of tomorrow's hearing for that very purpose. That
          was denied by both the Court and -
                        THE COURT: Tomorrow is just a scheduling hearing, if
          I heard you right. That's going to be to schedule the
         estimation proceedings.

MR. YANKWITT: Yes, your Honor, which starts off on a rocket ship pace starting tomorrow that the government thinks
10
11
12
          is not reasonable.
13
                        THE COURT: But the judge hasn't agreed with the
14
          debtor either yet?
15
                       MR. YANKWITT: That's correct, your Honor. The
16
17
          government simply wants to say that if we have a date certain
          before your Honor.
         THE COURT: You don't want me to try to give you a stay today, you don't want to give me a stay. I understand the limited relief you want today. You want a date certain for making the application to withdraw the reference.
18
19
20
21
22
                       MR. YANKWITT: We filed it with your Honor today. We
23
         just want a date either for a hearing, or if your Honor wants to just review it on the papers, that's fine as well. The
24
         order to show cause proposes that debtors submit papers to your
                                 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                                (212) 805-0300
                                                                                                           6
         79IMDANC
         Honor by Friday at 4 p.m. and that your Honor decides it at its
         earliest possible convenience.
 3
                       THE COURT: I'm sure you'll want to reply?
 4
                       MR. YANKWITT: Yes, your Honor, we would love to
         reply.
         THE COURT: If they submit papers on Friday, that's whatever it is, I lost track, the 20 something.

MR. YANKWITT: That's --
                       THE COURT: The 21st.
                       MR. YANKWITT: That's the 21st, your Honor, yes.
10
                       THE COURT: And your reply?
11
12
                       MR. YANKWITT: would be by following Thursday at 4:00.
13
                       THE COURT: That's the 4th?
        MR. YANKWITT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: What that does is, have the papers in the day I leave for my vacation. My first vacation in 15 months if you're interested, my first vacation in 15 months. I'm not going to delay it by even eight minutes. In fact, I leave at 2:30 or something or 11:30. On the 4th, I'm gone at some point. I think the flight is at 1:30. I will leave at 11:30.
14
15
16
17
18
```

P

19

20 21

and I won't see this place for the next two weeks and a day. I Page 3

point. I think the flight is at 1:30. I will leave at 11:30

7

8

우

Ŷ

19

20 21

22

23

24

79IMDANC.txt cannot wait. It's been a long 15 months. The point is, I won't even get back in town until the 23rd of October to greet your fully submitted motion at that time. If you need an estimate you have to realize that his SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

stay application date, which is the 4th, I think you said --

October 3. MR. YANKWITT:

THE COURT: Right. That will be my last full day at the office, so if you need an emergency request for a stay, should he deny a stay that's when we should schedule, either late on the 3rd or early on the 4th. In terms of to withdraw the reference, that's one thing. If you need to appeal the denial of the stay on an emergency basis, we need to look at the calendar and book something on the calendar. Do you know what time on the 3rd?

MR. YANKWITT: It's 10:00. It's impossible to predict

when he will be done on October 3.

THE COURT: What is possible to predict is I have theater tickets at 8:00 on the 3rd. I'm going on vacation on the 4th, theater on the 3rd. Therefore, the latest I could see you is 6 p.m. Maybe we better book it on the 3rd at 6 with respect to the stay. Just hold it on both your calendars. you can come at 5 or 5:30; otherwise, it's 6. I am going to put that down as a hold. That should really get me into the mood for the theater.

Mr. Bennett, is there any chance that you consent to withdrawing the reference?

MR. BENNETT: No, your Honor. We think there is no basis whatsoever for it.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

THE COURT: Despite him citing the statute for you, 157(d), and it says shall and if this is a complex civil statute I don't even have a choice, it's absolutely mandatory and there is no basis whatsoever despite courts all over the country holding CERCLA as a complex federal statute, but still he's hallucinating?

MR. BENNETT: Yes, he is.

THE COURT: Have you ever been accused of that before.

MR. YANKWITT: I'm one of those straight people who has not even tried hallucinogenics.

MR. BENNETT: Here is the source of the disconnect. managed to read his papers on the train down from 41st Street. The cases that they are citing are 20 some years old. Those early cases, CERCLA was recently born and when the intersection between CERCLA and bankruptcy was at issue, do in fact have a couple of points on the map that seem to indicate that withdrawal may be appropriate. The more recent cases are very much to the opposite effect --

THE COURT: How did you find those on the train? MR. BENNETT: We have known for some time that they planned this. They told us a while ago. This is their fourth request for a stay. T made an application --The first request for a stay was when we

THE COURT: On the same basis. You've tried this four SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

Page 4

79IMDANC.txt (212) 805-0300

79IMDANC 1 times on the same basis. MR. BENNETT: It's basically all on the same theory.
The first time was, Judge Lifland, please don't conduct a hearing to establish the schedule. I have a deposition that day, it's going to be a big problem, wait a couple of weeks.
The judge denied that. The second request was in the response to our estimation motion. They say, Judge, please put this all on a three-months later calendar. That is what Judge Lifland or a pring to be considering towards. is going to be considering tomorrow. The third request is, now 10 in connection with the withdrawal of the reference motion, they made the application to Judge Lifland for a stay of proceedings. I guess that's going to be on for consideration before Judge Lifland on October 3, and now we are in front of this Court similarly asking for a stay.

Just to get some background --11 12 13 14 15 16 17 THE COURT: Wait a minute. There are these recent cases that you've already researched somehow on the train 18 because you knew this was coming. 19 MR. BENNETT: Not on the train. 20 21 22 THE COURT: I understand. But you anticipated this. You researched this already and you know that the recent cases disagree with the older cases.
MR. BENNETT: Yes. 23 THE COURT: Mr. Yankwitt, are you unaware that recent 24 cases have diverged from the older ones? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300 10 79IMDANC MR. YANKWITT: Of course not. We spent half a page on page 186 of our brief discussing these recent cases. 1 2 3 THE COURT: Be sure you speak slowly. MR. YANKWITT: Sorry. I apologize, your Honor. There are two cases. One is 1994 and one is 2002, which I assume 6 7 8 Mr. Bennett is referring to. We obviously found those cases and we pointed them out to the Court, both bankruptcy and district court. Those cases are not on point. THE COURT: Are they CERCLA?

MR. YANKWITT: They are, your Honor. Those were a very limited issue. In one of those cases there is a Massachusetts court that has a bankruptcy hearing and then 10 11 12 there is another bankruptcy hearing in district court in New 13 14 And what the Court says is, all they were trying to 15 figure out is what claims were discharged by the prior 16 bankruptcy court. It's a simple issue, it's a straightforward application. Indeed, there is dicta in those cases that say, had this been interpretation of CERCLA law, that would be an entirely different story. Clearly, the government was aware of 17 18 19 20 those cases. We are confident those cases in fact help the 21 22 government. Certainly, we weren't trying to hide anything from

THE COURT: Are those the cases you're thinking of?

MR. BENNETT: No, they are not.

THE COURT: What are the cases?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

11

(212) 805-0300

79IMDANC

23

24 25

1

우

2

MR. BENNETT: What I'm thinking of is a recent case that was tried in the Southern District of Texas, Corpus

Page 5

The

12

```
79IMDANC.txt
         Christi bankruptcy court, five-day estimation hearing.
         government chose not to make this withdrawal of reference
                       what's apparent here is this is a strategic approach.
         request.
  6
7
8
                      THE COURT: That's hardly a case worth citing.
         court weighed in on anything.
         MR. BENNETT: My point, this is a strategic request.
THE COURT: I have never known nothing but lawyers do
to make strategic requests. That's what lawyers do for a
living. There is no holding in the Corpus Christi case.
 9
 10
11
         MR. BENNETT: Fair enough. But the Court should be aware that essentially what's going on here, this issue related to contamination of this site has been knocking around this
12
13
14
15
         agency for ten years without any resolution.
16
                      THE COURT: Be that as it may, what cases do you have
17
         that we are talking about, the more recent cases that go in a
18
         different direction?
        MR. BENNETT: There is another case in Wisconsin that was similarly tried to a determination.
19
20
21
                      THE COURT: Where the government didn't make this
         motion?
23
                      MR. BENNETT: To the best of my knowledge, the
24
         government didn't make that motion.
                     THE COURT: There is nothing of interest there.
                              SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                             (212) 805-0300
         79IMDANC
         Court didn't say anything about the intersection of CERCLA and
 123456789
         bankruptcy.
                     MR. BENNETT: The point of it is, if this were so
         important, and that is the key. What they cite -- this I did
         read on the train -- is this language from the Cablevision
        case. Matters must be withdrawn if they require the bankruptcy court to substantially interpret federal statutes. That's not the same thing as apply federal statutes. In fact, in the Dana case, Judge Lifland --
10
                      THE COURT: All I'm saying is, no court weighed in
11
        because no request was made. And this isn't like one of those
12
        things in life that's not waivable. If the government couldn't
13
        choose to raise it, so be it.
        MR. BENNETT: Fair enough. I appreciate that point. My point is that the standard is not, does it touch a federal statute. If that were the case, then everything --
THE COURT: Not doesn't touch a federal statute, but
\overline{14}
15
16
17
18
        whether the government has to interpret --
19
                     MR. BENNETT:
                                         Substantially interpret.
20
21
22
23
                     THE COURT: Substantially interpret a federal statute.
        MR. BENNETT: The point is, you have to in that connection ask yourself, is this an application of some novel law that Judge Lifland is going to have to do? The questions
24
        that are presented
25
                     THE COURT: Does he have to substantially interpret
                              SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                            (212) 805-0300
```

13

79IMDANC CERCLA?

1

3

5 6

Ŷ

MR. BENNETT: He doesn't have to do anything more than apply existing case law on the subject. In fact, they make the point that this case law is 100 percent crystal clear. If so, it's not a matter of substantial --

THE COURT: Does he have to substantially interpret CERCLA? Is that the standard?

Page 6

```
9
```

2

```
79IMDANC.txt
  8
                     MR. YANKWITT: Yes, your Honor, that is the standard. THE COURT: And the judge has to do that here, he has
  9
 10
         to substantially interpret CERCLA?
         MR. YANKWITT: Yes, your Honor. The government believes joint and several liability applies, even if Dana can
 11
 12
 13
         prove that one agency of the government is also a potentially
         responsible person. Dana asserts the government cannot assert joint and several liability. That's a difficult question. Courts have weighed in on that differently. There is an issue
 15
 16
         of visibility of harm that the parties disagree on. There are
 17
         many complex issues. Dana argues, for example, an act of God prevents CERCLA liability here. The government says that
 19
 20
         doesn't apply here. That doesn't apply under CERCLA. The
 21
22
         parties disagree on many important complex federal issues which
        is why the government believes it's important.

If I may, your Honor, just two quick points. This is the government's first request for a stay.

THE COURT: I think what he's saying, before that you SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
 23
 24
 25
                                            (212) 805-0300
                                                                                                 14
         79IMDANC
         were seeking delay. He didn't mean a normal delay. He said
  123456789
         you were too busy, two weeks of deposition. That means, Judge,
         Judge, please delay this.
                     MR. YANKWITT: I prefer not working on the Jewish
         holidays.
                     MR. BENNETT: I prefer not to be required to turn in a
        brief 4:00 on the evening of Kol Nidre, which is what this order to show cause would cause us to do this Friday.
                     THE COURT: I know it's Friday.
                                                                   I'm looking at
        Bennett. I didn't get it. Now I get it.

In any event, don't do it Friday because I'm not going to get it until I come back. If you want to do it Monday, do
10
11
12
13
        it Monday.
                     MR. YANKWITT: Can I suggest, your Honor, if
14
15
        Mr. Bennett could turn in the papers on Monday, which is the
16
        23rd --
17
                     THE COURT: The what?
18
                     MR. YANKWITT: 23rd of September.
\overline{19}
                     MR. BENNETT: That's giving me five days, including
20
        the holiday.
21
22
                     MR. YANKWITT: Then we could then on the 28th submit a
        reply.
                   Then your Honor could actually have a fully briefed
23
        motion.
24
                     THE COURT: How did we get it faster than slower?
25
                     MR. YANKWITT: I think I misspoke on the dates, your
                             SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                           (212) 805-0300
                                                                                                15
        79IMDANC
 1
2
3
        Honor.
                   I apologize.
                    MR. BENNETT: My suggestion is that we brief this
        thing in such a way that the Court has it in time for --
                    THE COURT: For the flight so I can ruin the vacation
        reading about bankruptcy law on the airplane?
                    MR. BENNETT: October 3.
       THE COURT: I understand.

MR. BENNETT: We will have the hearing in the morning with Judge Lifland, we will find out whether he is going to give a stay. In the afternoon if there is no stay we will have
 8
 9
10
        the argument before this court.
11
```

Page 7

THE COURT: No, you won't.

우

2

```
79IMDANC.txt
 13
                    MR. BENNETT: We can do it earlier.
 14
                    THE COURT: No. You can do it when I get back.
 15
                    MR. BENNETT: That's fine, too. That's certainly
 16
        fine.
 17
                    THE COURT: Let's go back to the briefing schedule.
        You don't want to do it this Friday, the 28th -- you don't want to do it this Friday, the 21st. Got you. You want to do it -- MR. BENNETT: Normal schedule, ours would be due the
 18
 19
 20
 21
        28th.
 22
                    THE COURT: That's what you originally said.
 23
        Mistakenly but originally, and then reply on the 4th.
 24
                    MR. YANKWITT: My thought was they could respond by
 25
        the 24th.
                           SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                        (212) 805-0300
                                                                                        16
        79IMDANC
                    THE COURT: He said he essentially would have to work
        all weekend including the holiday.
                   MR. YANKWITT: Your Honor, with all due respect, we
  4
        submitted our papers on the same time frame in five days.
 5
6
7
8
                   THE COURT: We don't know that. You could have been
        working on this for a month. Moving papers are different than
        responding papers. You should have been gearing up and doing research back at the office.
                   MR. YANKWITT:
                                      But we responded to their papers --
                   THE COURT: It is not going to make any difference,
10
11
                 You want until the 28th, that's fine. You can have
12
        until the 4th, that's fine, and then I can ruin one flight or
13
        the other reading that. Nothing I find more fascinating than
14
        reading about estimation hearings and CERCLA on the airplane.
       That's the schedule. We have this emergency time set aside.

Now you want time for the hearing on the way back?

MR. YANKWITT: Yes, your Honor.

MR. BENNETT: This is after you come back from
15
16
17
18
19
        vacation?
20
21
22
                   THE COURT: Yes, that's right.
                   MR. BENNETT: I hope it's some place nice.
                THE COURT: It is. We will say 11:00 on Thursday, the Is that all right?
\overline{23}
        25th.
24
                   MR. BENNETT: Actually, I have a conflict. I'm
       supposed to be speaking. I can do the 24th or the 26th. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                        (212) 805-0300
                                                                                       17
       79IMDANC
                   THE COURT: Where are you speaking?
 1
2
3
                   MR. BENNETT: In D.C.
                   THE COURT: You're out the whole day on Thursday? MR. BENNETT: I can check the schedule. I think it's
 4
5
6
7
       the morning.
                   THE COURT: If it's the morning, I can also do 2:30 or
       3 on the 25th.
                   MR. BENNETT: Can we pick that and I'll go back and
       confirm that that's okay?
10
                   THE COURT: I hope it is because -- tell him to put
       you on first thing in the morning. Stay over Wednesday night, speak first thing, don't fly, take the train. Anybody who flies is stupid. And then you'll get back in time for the
11
12
13
14
       hearing on 3.
                  MR. BENNETT: Did you say 2 or 3?
THE COURT: I said 2:30 or 3. If you can make it
15
16
       2:30, it's better. If you can't, I'll move it to 3 if you can
```

Page 8

79IMDANC.txt make that. I'll put it down for 2:30 on the 25th. 18 19 Did you get all that you needed today, Mr. Yankwitt? 20 You have a briefing schedule, you have an emergency day to 21 appeal the stay, you have a real date for the argument on this motion to withdraw. Is there anything else you need today? 23 MR. YANKWITT: Can I have ten seconds to confer with my colleague, your Honor?

THE COURT: Sure. 24 25 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300 18 79IMDANC MR. YANKWITT: Your Honor, since your Honor scheduled a hearing for the 25th, could our reply be due after your 123456789 vacation? THE COURT: No. Because I may want to read this on vacation on the way out and then shred it. I don't want to read it there. On the plane is one thing. Planes are boring anyway. I never have once watched a movie. I want it. MR. YANKWITT: You'll have it. THE COURT: So I can read it. Are you guys done? If you are, please leave. good holiday for those of you who are having a holiday. 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

7

Ŷ